Volume 2024, 9 pages Article ID: IJETS-2409122112912 Review Article

Identifying factors of Sustainable supply chain in Iranian Automobile Industries

Ahmad Bathaei^{*1}, Siti Rahmah Awang², Tahir Ahmad³

¹ Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences, Institute of Economics and Rural Development, A. Vivulskio g. 4A-13, LT-03220 Vilnius, Lithuania

 ² Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Skudai 81310, Johor, Malaysia
 ³ Fellow, Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia. Corresponding authors: Ahmad Bathaei, ahmadbathaei@gmail.com

Date Received: 10-08-2024; Date revised: 29-08-2024; Date accepted: 10-09-2024

Abstract

The automotive industry, as one of the largest and most influential industries in the world, could have a massive effect on the world's lifestyle, monitoring the process of production can improve the sustainability in the supply chain. Sustainable supply chain management (SSCM) has recently received increasing attention among Iranian automobile manufacturers. The previous studies show the important role of sustainability for choosing suppliers, but no studies check the important factors for all of the Automobile manufactures. The purpose of this study is finding the important factors for sustainable supply chain in Iranian Automobile industries. The factors obtained from previous studies and 50 experts shared their idea for this purpose and analyzed by Fuzzy Delphi Method. The results show that Quality, Customer satisfaction, Suppliers' green initiatives, Top management vision, Supply chain configuration are important factors to reach sustainability in automobile industries in Iran.

Keywords: Sustainable Supply Chain; Automobile; Iran; Supply Chain; Delphi Method

Introduction

The governments of the developed nations have started giving importance to environmental aspects, and as a result, organizations have started searching for operations that are environmentally, economically as well as socially sustainable. Sustainability in the meaning of services that build value and do not enact material depletion is paramount for these results. It is the management technique that focuses on access to cookies and their utilization in a green manner, working without polluting either water, soil or air, and being successfully viable for different communities, customers, and workers (Bahramimianrood, 2022; Bathaei et al., 2022). The automotive industry is one of the largest industries and plays a very important role in economy and social life and sadly has a very bad effect on the environment it uses a lot of material and fuel so the pollution and global warming. Consequently, motor manufacturers have incorporated sustainability initiatives within organizations to offset such impacts and enhance citizens' quality of life (Amaral et al., 2020). It has been noted that more and more international companies recently pay much attention to environmental concerns while managing their business activities and processes because of the pressure from the legislation, clients, and competitors. Now, the environmental practices have become inevitable that business organizations integrate these practices into their tactical operational plans to either achieve or sustain their competitive edge (Abdoli et al., 2023). This involves implementing environmental programs and having responsibility for the environment of the whole supply chain (Kazancoglu et al., 2021) as well as considering categorizing and measure their circularity using circularity indicators (Bahramimianrood et al., 2024). Thus, car production in Iran has expanded through cooperation with European firms and through the granting of licenses to overseas manufacturers. Thus, the effects of sanctions and currency crises have been very severe within establishments affecting overall production and functionality. Renault and Peugeot are examples of international firms that stopped operations because of the new sanctions from the United States; automobile delivery has been affected, and there is a scarcity of spare parts. These issues raise attention that there are still so many deficiencies and problems regarding the sustainable and efficient supply chain management of Iranian automobile companies.

Literature review

Sustainable supply chain management involves managing a wide range of factors and specific, extensive performance targets, that must cover the whole value delivery network and the life cycle of the actual products. Supply Chain Management for Sustainability involves the application of lean management strategies to enhance an eco-efficient supply chain, which focuses on the continuous improvement to supplying goods with minimal waste, in response to the market needs while incorporating environmental, social and ethical concerns into the process (Kenaria & Bahramimianroodb, 2021). These supply chain relationships are therefore made more responsive and stronger by these alliances. This information means that through the lean system, organizational efficiency can be maintained and other sustainability issues tackled as well (Singh et al., 2020). A study by Bathaei et al 2022 conducted with Iran Khodro Company and found out that production flexibility, strategic flexibility, and supply chain agility correlated with the company's performance. Supply chain agility was affected by strategic flexibility, while both the latter and production flexibility impacted firm performance with supply chain agility acting as a mediator. Later in the year 2019, by employing the fuzzy AHP methodology, Zaidi drew attention to supply chain plans for the Iranian automotive sector, with customer orientation, appropriate social network leverage, coupled with the direct engagement policy that was founded on the development of customer trust. The investigation revealed that in the best-selling scenario, Iran Khodro's best-suggested strategy was a 'Defensive' posture that focused more on customers as opposed to rivalries. Considering these observations, it can be suggested that the Iranian automotive industry needs to adopt effective SC management concepts such as the more appropriate, adaptive, and customer-oriented approaches (Bathaei et al., 2022; Streimikis et al., 2024).

Sustainability Supply Chain Conceptual Models

A conceptual model of Sustainable Supply chain Management (SSM) (Ageron et al., 2012) introduced seven factors which are Reasons for SSCM, Performance criteria for SSCM, Greening supply chains, Characteristics of suppliers, Managerial approaches for SSCM, Barriers for SSCM, Benefits and motivation for SSCM. Tables 1 shows all of the sustainable supply chain factors based on three dimensions of sustainability: Economics, environment and society. Those are obtained from previous studies (Ageron et al., 2012; Giunipero et al., 2012; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2012; Govindan et al., 2015; Hsu et al., 2016; Rodríguez et al., 2016; Shaverdi et al., 2013; Su et al., 2016; Tseng & Bui, 2017; Wiengarten et al., 2018). As shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Sustainable supply chain management (SCM) model based on (Ageron et al., 2012)

Table 1: Sustainable supply chain management factors

Main factor	code				
Reasons for	Top management vision	A1			
SSCM factors	Government regulatory requirements	A2			
	Nature of business	A3			
	Customer expectations				
	Competitor actions	A5			
	Stakeholders such as NGOs	A6			
	Suppliers' green initiatives	A7			
Performance	Quality	B1			
criteria	Price	B2			
employed for	Reliability	B3			
SSM factors	Service rate	B4			
	Delivery	B5			
	Flexibility	B6			
	Certification	B7			
	Associated services	B8			
	Long term relationships	B9			
	Geographic proximity	B10			
	Environmental issues	B11			
	Economic dependency	B12			
	B13				
	Social responsibility	B14			
Greening	Greening Waste reduction				
supply chains	Savings from packaging	C2			
factors	ISO 14 001 certification	C3			
	Lean management	C4			
	Eco-design	C5			
	Production resources system	C6			
	Clean programs	C7			
	Product life cycle management	C8			
	Green transportation channels	C9			
	Reverse logistics	C10			
	Large scale companies	D1			
	Strategic suppliers	D2			

Characteristics	Small and medium-sized enterprises				
of suppliers'	suppliers' Geographically near suppliers				
factors	factors Non-strategic suppliers				
Managerial	Active	E1			
approaches for	Collaborative	E2			
SSCM factors	Reactive	E3			
	Pro-active	E4			
	Individual				
Barriers for	Financial costs	E6			
SSM factors	Green investments	E7			
	Return on investment	E8			
	Supplier's facilities	E9			
	Focal company's facilities				
	Supply chain configuration	E11			
	Suppliers' firm size	E12			
	Supplier's location	E13			
	Product characteristics	E14			
	Green induced changes	E15			
	Focal firm's previous sustainability experiences				
	Top management commitment	F1			
	Suppliers' human skills	F2			
	Supplier's top management commitment	F3			
	Suppliers' firm culture	F4			
	Company human skills	F5			
Benefits and	Customer satisfaction	G1			
motivations for	Supplier's capabilities to innovate	G2			
SSM Factors Trust in suppliers					
	Supplier lead-time	G4			

Methodology

The aim of this study is to identify the important factors for sustainable supply chain. In the first step, the 61 factors are extracted from the previous studies. In the next step by using the fuzzy Delphi and experts' opinions, the important factors found. In this study 50 experts participated in filling the questionnaire.

The Delphi methodology may be an ancient prediction approach that does not need massive samples. It is often used to come up with knowledgeable agreements for complicated topics (Hartman, 1981). The Delphi methodology suffers from low convergence professional opinions and a lot of execution value. In this study, the five-point linguistic scales used and shows at Table 2.

3.1 The FDM Process

When using the FDM method in a study, there is a sequence of steps to be followed:

Step 1: Interview the experts to determine the importance of the evaluation criteria of the variables that will be measured by using linguistic variables.

Step 2: Convert all linguistic variables to fuzzy triangular numbering (triangular fuzzy number

Step 3: For every factor, use the vertex method to calculate the average.

Step 4: Defuzzification the weights.

Step 5: According to Cheng and Lin (Cheng & Lin, 2002), The overall group consensus should be more than 70 percent. If the data is found otherwise, the second of FDM needs to be done.

Table 2: Five Point Linguistic Scale

Linguistic Scale	Triangular fuzzy number
Very Important	(0.75, 1, 1)
Important	(0.5, 0.75, 1)
Moderately Important	(0.25, 0.5, 0.75)
Unimportant	(0, 0.25, 0.5)
Very Unimportant	(0, 0, 0.25)

Results

At the beginning of this study, there were 61 factors identified from the previous studies to represent both agile supply chain and sustainable supply chain, and then the factors were grouped into 7 groups. The FDM was used to choose the most important factors from those (table 3) 7 groups, based on the questionnaire returned by 50 experts. Table 3 shows the average weight based on fuzzy numbers and final crisp numbers. Based on FDM, factors that have a weight more than 0.7 were accepted.

Code	Fuzzy numbers (I. M.I.)	Final	Codo	Fuzzy numbers	Final
Code	Fuzzy numbers (L,M,U)	score	Code	(L , M , U)	score
A1	(0.53, 0.77, 0.91)	0.73*	D1	(0.51, 0.76, 0.90)	0.72*
A2	(0.43, 0.66, 0.84)	0.64	D2	(0.51, 0.76, 0.92)	0.73*
A3	(0.50, 0.75, 0.90)	0.72*	D3	(0.38, 0.62, 0.80)	0.6
A4	(0.52, 0.77, 0.89)	0.73*	D4	(0.41, 0.65, 0.82)	0.62
A5	(0.52, 0.76, 0.90)	0.72*	D5	(0.37, 0.61, 0.79)	0.59
A6	(0.38, 0.61, 0.79)	0.59	E1	(0.51, 0.75, 0.88)	0.71*
A7	(0.56, 0.80, 0.93)	0.76*	E2	(0.43, 0.66, 0.84)	0.64
B1	(0.65, 0.90, 0.98)	0.84*	E3	(0.52, 0.76, 0.90)	0.72*
B2	(0.52, 0.76, 0.90)	0.72*	E4	(0.44, 0.67, 0.86)	0.65
B3	(0.50, 0.75, 0.90)	0.72*	E5	(0.46, 0.70, 0.88)	0.68
B4	(0.39, 0.62, 0.79)	0.6	E6	(0.37, 0.61, 0.82)	0.6
B5	(0.43, 0.67, 0.84)	0.65	E7	(0.43, 0.67, 0.85)	0.65
B6	(0.43, 0.66, 0.84)	0.64	E8	(0.41, 0.64, 0.82)	0.62
B7	(0.33, 0.56, 0.76)	0.55	E9	(0.33, 0.57, 0.80)	0.57
B8	(0.38, 0.61, 0.80)	0.59	E10	(0.36, 0.59, 0.80)	0.58
B9	(0.39, 0.61, 0.80)	0.6	E11	(0.51, 0.76, 0.90)	0.72*
B10	(0.32, 0.55, 0.75)	0.54	E12	(0.39, 0.62, 0.81)	0.6
B11	(0.45, 0.69, 0.85)	0.66	E13	(0.41, 0.66, 0.84)	0.63
B12	(0.35, 0.57, 0.76)	0.56	E14	(0.36, 0.58, 0.79)	0.57*
B13	(0.40, 0.61, 0.80)	0.6	E15	(0.36, 0.61, 0.83)	0.6
B14	(0.40, 0.63, 0.83)	0.62	E16	(0.42, 0.64, 0.81)	0.62
C1	(0.43, 0.66, 0.84)	0.64	F1	(0.51, 0.75, 0.89)	0.72*
C2	(0.35, 0.57, 0.81)	0.57	F2	$(0.\overline{51}, 0.76, 0.92)$	0.73*
C3	$(0.43, 0.67, 0.8\overline{5})$	0.65	F3	(0.34, 0.58, 0.78)	0.56

Table 3: Final FDM weights

		10. 10. (202	i i j, i ii cicic i		
C4	(0.43, 0.67, 0.86)	0.65	F4	(0.47, 0.72, 0.89)	0.69
C5	(0.52, 0.76, 0.92)	0.73*	F5	(0.43, 0.68, 0.85)	0.65
C6	(0.51, 0.76, 0.92)	0.73*	G1	(0.55, 0.77, 0.89)	0.74*
C7	(0.40, 0.63, 0.83)	0.62	G2	(0.52, 0.77, 0.93)	0.74*

0.62

0.61

0.64

Bathaei et al. 2024 - IJETS, Vol. (2024), Article ID: IJETS-2409122112912

G3

G4

Total

(0.52, 0.76, 0.89)

(0.48, 0.71, 0.90)

*20 factors were accepted

*

0.72*

0.69

According to Table 3, the first column is the factor's code based on the questionnaire from the 50 experts. The second column is the weights of factors that represent fuzzy numbers (L= lower, M= medium, U= upper) and the third column is the final factors weights showed in script numbers. Only 26 factors with (*) in Table 3 were accepted as the final score is >0.7. These numbers are the average score of the experts' answers using Fuzzy method. Then these final scores are converted to linear numbers. Table 4 shows the Accepted factors with their ranking.

Table 4: Accepted factors of sustainable supply chain

(0.41, 0.64, 0.82)

(0.39, 0.63, 0.82)

(0.41, 0.66, 0.86)

Rank	Factor	Code	Weight	Rank	Factor	Code	Weight
1	Quality	B1	0.84	11	Competitor actions	A5	0.72
2	Suppliers' green initiatives	A7	0.76	12	Price	B2	0.72
3	Supplier's capabilities to innovate	G2	0.74	13	Return on investment	E3	0.72
4	Customer satisfaction	G1	0.74	14	Large scale companies	D1	0.72
5	Top management vision	A1	0.73	15	Supply chain configuration	E11	0.72
6	Eco-design	C5	0.73	16	Trust in suppliers	G3	0.72
7	Production resources system	C6	0.73	17	Nature of business	A3	0.72
8	Strategic suppliers	D2	0.73	18	Reliability	B3	0.72
9	Collaborative	F2	0.73	19	Active	F1	0.72
10	Customer expectations	A4	0.73	20	Financial costs	E1	0.71

Discussions

C8

C9

C10

Consequently, based on the literature review using the fuzzy, Delphi method, this study first of all, recognizes the Iranian automobile industry's 61 factors for assessing sustainable supply chains. The identified factors were then ranked by experts, reducing the list to 20 factors having scores greater than (0.7). They were Quality, Top management vision, Nature of business, Customer expectations, Competitor actions, Suppliers' green initiatives, Price, Reliability, Eco-design, Production resources system, Large scale companies, Strategic suppliers, Return on investment, Supply chain configuration, Active, Collaborative, Large companies, Specific supplier capabilities, Ended up being very satisfied, and green pursuits/innovations of the supplier. Among these, Quality was rated the best, then Suppliers' green efforts, Customer satisfaction, Supplier's capacity to change, and Top management commitment.

The focus on Quality corresponds to trends that were evident in the past and are still relevant suggesting that the availability Sector of Quality can be recognized as one of the main sources of competitive advantage in the automotive industry (Saragih et al., 2020). Quality is defined as product features, manufacturer's reliability, and ownership experience resulting in customer loyalty. Likewise, the attention to such green activities can be explained by the increasing significance of environmental issues in the sphere. Green innovation defines green goods, green processes and green management and also asserts that improving environmental performance will also correspondingly improve the competitiveness of the economy (Abadi et al., 2021). The third variable that has been identified is customer satisfaction, which emphasizes the need to retain the customers in the supply chain for as long as possible to realize favorable business relations. The suggestions derived from these results also confirm the importance of quality, green innovation, and customer satisfaction in attaining sustainable supply chain in the automobile industry (Junaid et al., 2022).

Conclusion

Monitoring the supply chain is very important for companies where updating their supply chain can improve performance. One of the customers and governments' demands is paying attention to the environment and for this purpose, the companies are trying to increase their sustainability functions. Sustainable supply chain is popular these years in aspect of social, economic and environment. This paradigm increased in paying attention to environment and materials in the whole chain. The automobile industry is a great industry in the world. Countries are trying to invest on this industry to decrease their cost and improve the performance. If the companies' managers focus on the supply chain, it can improve performance. However, for the automobile industry, it is very important to pay attention to green environment invitation because if it does not pass the environment test, the company will not be allowed to send their automobile to the market. At the same time, designing based on market demand to satisfy the customers is very important because it will attract people's attention.

References

- Abadi, S. K. G., Bathaei, A., Awang, S. R., & Ahmad, T. (2021). Suppliers selection in resilient supply chain by using fuzzy DEMATEL approach (case study in SAPCO supply chain). *Journal of Social, management and tourism letter, 2021*(1), 1-17.
- Abdoli, S., Xie, S., Bahramimianrood, B., Malaibari, M., & Stringer, T. (2023). A Methodological Framework for Analysis and Theorization of Circular Supply Chain at the System Context Level. *ESSN: 2701-6277*, 382-392.
- Ageron, B., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2012). Sustainable supply management: An empirical study. *International journal of production economics*, *140*(1), 168-182.
- Amaral, A. R., Rodrigues, E., Gaspar, A. R., & Gomes, A. (2020). A review of empirical data of sustainability initiatives in university campus operations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 250, 119558.

- Bahramimianrood, B. (2022). Enhancing Sustainable Practices in the Circular Economy through Effective Product Lifecycle Management.
- Bahramimianrood, B., Xie, S., Malaibari, M., & Abdoli, S. (2024). Reviewing Circularity Indicators for a Sustainable Transition to a Circular Economy. *Procedia CIRP*, *122*, 1065-1070.
- Bathaei, Ahmad, Siti Rahmah Awang, and Tahir Ahmad. "Important Factors for Agile Supply Chain in Iranian Automobile Industries." Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res 4 (2021): 1259-1269.
- Bathaei, A., Awang, S. R., & Ahmad, T. (2022). Evaluate And Rank Iranian Automobile Companies Based On Agile Supply Chain With Using Fuzzy TOPSIS. International Journal of Engineering and Technology Sciences, 2022, 1-15.
- Cheng, C.-H., & Lin, Y. (2002). Evaluating the best main battle tank using fuzzy decision theory with linguistic criteria evaluation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 142(1), 174-186.
- Giunipero, L. C., Hooker, R. E., & Denslow, D. (2012, 2012/12/01/). Purchasing and supply management sustainability: Drivers and barriers. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 18(4), 258-269. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2012.06.003</u>
- Gopalakrishnan, K., Yusuf, Y. Y., Musa, A., Abubakar, T., & Ambursa, H. M. (2012, 2012/11/01/).
 Sustainable supply chain management: A case study of British Aerospace (BAe) Systems.
 International Journal of Production Economics, 140(1), 193-203.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.003
- Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015, 2015/02/01/). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 240(3), 603-626. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.07.012
- Hartman, A. (1981). Reaching consensus using the Delphi technique. *Educational Leadership*, 38(6), 495-497.
- Hsu, C.-C., Tan, K.-C., & Mohamad Zailani, S. H. (2016). Strategic orientations, sustainable supply chain initiatives, and reverse logistics: Empirical evidence from an emerging market. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *36*(1), 86-110.
- Junaid, M., Zhang, Q., & Syed, M. W. (2022). Effects of sustainable supply chain integration on green innovation and firm performance. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 30, 145-157.
- Kazancoglu, I., Sagnak, M., Kumar Mangla, S., & Kazancoglu, Y. (2021). Circular economy and the policy: A framework for improving the corporate environmental management in supply chains. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(1), 590-608.
- Kenaria, Z. D., & Bahramimianroodb, B. (2021). Selection of factors affecting the supply chain and green suppliers by the TODIM method in the dairy industry. *Sustainable development*, *56*(11), 63-65.
- Rodríguez, J. A., Giménez Thomsen, C., Arenas, D., & Pagell, M. (2016). NGOs' initiatives to enhance social sustainability in the supply chain: poverty alleviation through supplier development programs. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 52(3), 83-108.

- Saragih, J., Tarigan, A., Pratama, I., Wardati, J., & Silalahi, E. F. (2020). The impact of total quality management, supply chain management practices and operations capability on firm performance. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, *21*(2), 384-397.
- Shaverdi, M., Heshmati, M. R., Eskandaripour, E., & Tabar, A. A. (2013). Developing sustainable SCM evaluation model using fuzzy AHP in publishing industry. *Procedia Computer Science*, 17, 340-349.
- Singh, J., Singh, H., & Kumar, A. (2020). Impact of lean practices on organizational sustainability through green supply chain management–an empirical investigation. *International journal of lean six sigma*, 11(6), 1035-1068.
- Streimikis, J., Štreimikienė, D., Bathaei, A., & Bahramimianrood, B. (2024). Green Supplier Selection Using Advanced Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Tools. *Information*, 15(9), 548.
- Su, C.-M., Horng, D.-J., Tseng, M.-L., Chiu, A. S., Wu, K.-J., & Chen, H.-P. (2016). Improving sustainable supply chain management using a novel hierarchical grey-DEMATEL approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 134, 469-481.
- Tseng, M.-L., & Bui, T.-D. (2017, 2017/01/01/). Identifying eco-innovation in industrial symbiosis under linguistic preferences: A novel hierarchical approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140, 1376-1389. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.014</u>
- Wiengarten, F., Onofrei, G., Humphreys, P., & Fynes, B. (2018). A Supply Chain View on Certification Standards: Does Supply Chain Certification Improve Performance Outcomes? In ISO 9001, ISO 14001, and New Management Standards (pp. 193-214). Springer.